



Applying threat-informed approach for fit-for-purpose cybersecurity target maturity setting for organisations

Prepared for CTID Asia-Pacific ATT&CK Community Workshop 2024

> 26 April 2024 RESTRICTED

Non-exhaustive





Our clients trust and rely on us to bring our collective capabilities and innovative solutions across **Consulting, Systems Integration, Managed Services** and **Labs** to deliver cyber excellence.

We work with our clients to transform them into cyber-resilient leaders, helping them **Conquer the Unknown**.

Applying deep expertise in technologies Applying expertise to help clients understand and transform their cyberincluding IT, ICS, SCADA, IoT and Cloud to support clients in distress while meeting resilience, and develop cybersecurity urgent timelines and pressures defence strategy through threat-4 SPONI informed approaches () & DEVE S ARCH **Threat Intelligence** Advisory Ready-to-deploy Complete digital Analysis Discover forensic tools for expertise supporting ME) emerging threats all technologies regional coverage Security Assessments & Assurance and developing novel **Threat Hunting** and relevant solutions Big data & AI-powered cyber analytics GM **End-to-end management** Architecting and E of cybersecurity operations Implementing Complex solutions Vulnerability through advanced threat cybersecurity solutions to to emerging threats research detection, continuous bolster the defences across the digital attack surface (IT, IoT, monitoring, triage, and firstresponse services Cloud, and OT – ICS & SCADA) End-to-end Cognitive Advanced threat Complete coverage Deep expertise for Design and implement security security operations detection & response integration and advanced SOCs of technology types management operational outcomes

Illustrative



Ensign's Threat-Informed Defence Framework (TIDF)

- Works with NIST's Cybersecurity Maturity Framework (CSF) v2.0 to determine the threat informed target maturity level to establish the fitfor-purpose target state rather than to rely on benchmarked data which does not allow for directly relevant target state analysis
- Leverages other reputable cybersecurity frameworks such as MITRE ATT&CK, NIST SP 800-53, Open FAIR Factor Analysis
- Consistent and repeatable use of the maturity scale for observations
- Leverages Ensign's organic Threat Intelligence Analysis capabilities to determine target state cybersecurity maturity level
- Combined with the CSF, the TIDF allows for the identification of Return on Security Investment (ROSI) and quantification of risks.

MITRE ATT&CK.

- Identification of Threat Actors, Malicious Software relevant to the subject organisation(s)
- Establishing the tactics, techniques and procedures (TTPs) affecting the subject organisation(s)
- Using the common taxonomy for threats
- Used to determine the target state maturity leveraging the CSMF



• Used to determine expected controls, mitigations and proactive defences to address the threats from technology and procedural angles



Leverage FAIR and other appropriate risk frameworks to determine risk exposure

• Used to determine the **risk exposure** the subject organisation(s) face



Apply Maturity Assessments for Assessed State, Target State and Aspirational Target State

- Used to present cybersecurity maturity level for the subject organisation(s)
- Helps to determine the fit-for-purpose investment requirements, and aspirational target states (based on proactive defence mechanisms)
- Supports evaluation and strategic planning including Return on Security Investment (ROSI)





PERFORMING THREAT PROFILING OF THE SUBJECT ORGANISATION

- Conduct research against Ensign Threat Repository for relevant threat groups and malicious software and their associated TTPs
- Relevant threat groups are characterised based on the following:
 - Business activities nature (e.g., sector participation)
 - Territorial exposure / involvement
 - Time currency (6 months / 18 months)



PROFILE THREAT GROUPS AND MALICIOUS SOFTWARE AGAINST ENSIGN THREAT CLASSIFICATION MATRIX

• Profile identified relevant threat groups and malicious software to determine threat "proximity" to subject organisation

ENSIGN THREAT	\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow Increasing levels of threat to the subject \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow					
CLASSIFICATION MATRIX	Insubstantial	Potential	Impending	Material		
Capability		•	•	•		
Intent	•		•	•		
Opportunity	•	•		•		

Figure 1: Ensign's Threat Classification Matrix, the criteria and analysis outcomes.



TTPs

MAP ASSOCIATED TTPs WITH CONTROLS, DETECTIONS, MITIGATIONS, AND PROACTIVE DEFENCES

- Profile the TTPs of relevant threat groups and malicious software into a MITRE ATT&CK Heatmap
- Map TTPs with Detections, Mitigations, Controls (NIST SP 800-53, NIST CSF, ISO 27001) and proactive defences (e.g., MITRE D3FEND and MITRE Engage)



Figure 2: Heatmap of TTPs based on MITRE ATT&CK mapping of TTPs for known threat groups and malicious software.

EVALUATE MATURITY LEVELS (ASSESSED, TARGET, AND ASPIRED TARGET)

• Establish assessed maturity levels from evaluations, target maturity levels from threat profile (MITRE ATT&CK detections and mitigations, and proactive defences from MITRE D3FEND and Engage.

Illustrative

Global Anchor Shipping (GAS), a maritime shipping company is engaged in transporting goods via container ships, managing a fleet that operates on trade routes connecting major ports in **Australia** and **New Zealand** (ANZ). GAS provides **shipping and logistics**, including containerization, supply chain management, freight forwarding, customs clearance, and intermodal transport solutions.



Threat Modelling Parameters

Identify relevant threat actor groups that was observed to have attacked similar organisations like GAS in ANZ and is active in the past **18 months**





High-level Threat Profiling Methodology

Step 2: Profile threat groups and malicious software against Ensign Threat Classification Matrix

Illustrative



Capability: Known to have access to proprietary, highly-effective and/or use of native environment tools

Hostile Intent: Known to have targeted victims in GAS's business activities and relevant geography in the last 18-months

Opportunity: Known to exploit common vulnerabilities (e.g., cyber supply chain) with high success rates

ENSIGN THREAT CLASSIFICATION MATRIX	NIL	Mummy Spider Tropical Scorpius	Lazarus Group	Volt Typhoon			
	\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow Increasing levels of threat to the subject \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow						
	INSUBSTANTIAL	POTENTIAL	IMPENDING	MATERIAL			
Capability		•	•	•			
Intent	•		•	•			
Opportunity	•	•		•			



Identified 2 Impending & Material Threat Groups • Volt Typhoon • Lazarus Group Group

Baseline Defense Posture

• The baseline defence posture will consider the two (2) **Impending** and **Material** Threat Groups, which will subsequently be mapped to the MITRE ATT&CK Techniques Heatmap.



TTP Heatmap

Consolidate the Techniques used by both threat actor groups across Tactic families



MITRE ATT&CK mapping of TTPs to NIST SP800-53r5

	(-/-/	۰.	Uijnek Execution		
	Windows Management Instrumentation		Windows Management Instrumentation (T1047) Score: 18	CI M PI	^
	Exploitation for Client Execution		Comment:Mitigated by AC 17, AC-2, AC-3, AC-5, AC-6, CM-	Еs	
	Scheduled Task/Job (5/5)	11	1mplant 2, CM-5, CM-6, CM-7, IA-2, RA-1 SC-3, SC-34, SI-	Ë,	
II	System Services (2/2)	11	Modify 16, SI-2, SI-3, SI- Authent 4, SI-70	Ta	
	User Execution (2/2)		4)÷ IVI	ou

NIST CSF mapping of TTPs to NIST SP800-53r5

Subcategory	800-53
PR.AA-01: Identities and credentials for authorized users, services, and hardware are managed by the organization	AC-02
PR.AA-05: Access permissions, entitlements, and authorizations are defined in a policy, managed, enforced, and reviewed, and incorporate the principles of least privilege and separation of duties	AC-02
PR.DS-10: The confidentiality, integrity, and availability	AC-02

MITRE ATT&CK ⊃∃F∃N⊃™

- Detections .
- Mitigations ٠





Illustrative



Translating NIST SP 800-53 controls into maturity levels using NIST CSF



	Govern	Identify	Protect	Detect	Respond	Recover
Assessed State	1.3	1.8	2.1	1.5	2.5	2.8
Target State	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.8	3	3
Aspirational Target State	2.8	2.8	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.5

Providing Executives with the next step, Roadmap of Cybersecurity initiatives







Ray Zhou Head of Cyber Transformation

ray_zhou@ensigninfosecurity.com https://www.linkedin.com/in/ruihong-zhou-bb584857



Connect with me on in







For the Ensign Cyber Threat Landscape Report

Download at:

https://www.ensigninfosecurity.com/resources/98